WENTAL PROTECTION
States Description
FLORIDA

SURFACE COATING OPERATIONS



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:       ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)       COMPLAINT/E         RE-INSPECTION (FUI)       ARMS COMPL	DISCOVERY (CI)			
AIRS ID#: 0112546 DATE: 09/25/2011 ARRIVE: FACILITY NAME: HOLMAN HONDA OF FORT LAUDERDALE FACILITY LOCATION: 12 E SUNRISE BLVD FORT LAUDERDALE 33304-1951 OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: GLENN GARDNER Email: CONTACT NAME: JASON WOODHAM Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 8/7/2011 / 8/7/2016 (effective date) (end date)	DEPART: PHONE: (954)335-2200 Mobile: PHONE: (954)764-1100 Mobile:			
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box)         IN COMPLIANCE         IN COMPLIANCE				
PART II: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS       – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.         (check ☑ appropriate box(es))       1. Does the facility operate any emissions units other than the surface coating operations and emissions units which are exempt from permitting pursuant to the criteria of paragraph 62-210.300(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., or have been exempted from permitting under Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.a., F.A.C.) ☑ Yes □ No         2. Does the owner/operator of the facility maintain records to document the VOC content of the coatings and the quantity of the coatings used?				
<ul> <li>PART III: <u>CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTANANCE REQUIREMEN</u> (check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>1. Is/Are the surface coating operation(s) subject to a VOC Reasonably A emission limiting standard of Chapter 62-296.500, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-2</li> <li>2. Does the facility cause, suffer, allow or permit the discharge of air poll an objectionable odor? (Rule 62.296.320(2), F.A.C.)</li></ul>	Available Control Technology (RACT) 10.300(3)(c)4.b., F.A.C.) Yes No lutants which cause or contribute to			

## PART III: <u>CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. – (continued)

(check d appropriate box(es))

3.	Does the owner/operator encourage pollution prevention through such measures as training employees
	involved in surface coating operations on methods of reducing VOC emissions by:

a) maintaining spray coating equipment to ensure effective application with a minimum of overspray?	$\bowtie$ Yes $\square$ No
b) monitoring the coating thickness to avoid excessive coating?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
c) considering the use of low-VOC coatings (e.g., waterborne, ultra-violet cured, or powder coatings)?	Yes No
d) implementing inventory control practices to prevent spillage?	Yes No
e) implementing management practices to reduce VOC emissions during cleanup by:	
1. spraying light colored coatings before dark colored coatings to reduce the number of cleaning	
cycles?	Yes No
2) recycling cleaning solvents?	Yes No
3) using water based cleaners?	Yes No

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>		
1. Since the last inspection has there been		
a) installation of any new process equipment?	Yes	No
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	Yes	No
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most		
	Yes	No
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete		
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or		
local program office?	Yes	⊠No

Elizabeth F. Susky

1

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

09/26/2011

Date of Inspection

09/26/2011

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

**COMMENTS:** In a compliance inspection conducted on 09/26/2011, AQD staff observed activities at Holman Honda. The facility has been under construction and upgrading its spray booths.